IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

FILED U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

PRO SE CIVIL COMPLAINT

15 APR -6 PM 3: 22

Case No. 8:15CV 07 CLERA (the court will assign a number)

T	CASE CADTION.	Parties to this Civil Action:
	I ASE I AFTIMIS	FAITIES TO THIS VIVIL ACTION.

II. STATEMENT OF CLAIM(S)

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 10(a), the names of all parties must appear in the case caption. The court will **not** consider a claim against any defendant who is not listed in the caption.

A.	Plaintiff(s) Name(s):	Address(es): 1232 S. 118 th St Omaha NE 68144		you are NOT a prisoner) (402) 541-3799	
Bruce	Richard Friedman				
B.	Defendant(s) Name(s)	:	Addı	ress(es) If known:	
Mr. Jo	onathan Brott, Director o	of Infrastructure	13030 Pierce Street	Omaha, NE 68144	
Mr. Sı	udarsan Thattai, Chief II	nformation Officer	21700 Barton Road	Colton, California 92324	
Millard Refrigerated Services, LLC			13030 Pierce Street	Omaha, NE 68144	
Lineag	ge Logistics, LLC		21700 Barton Road	Colton, California 92324	
(Attac	h extra sheets if necessa	ry.)			

State briefly the facts of your claim. Describe how each defendant is involved. You do not need to give legal arguments or cite cases or statutes. Use as much space as you need to state the facts. (Attach extra sheets if necessary.)

A.	When did the events occur?
On o	r about October 29, 2014 through February 20, 2015
В.	What happened?
Milla	ard Refrigerated Services, Lineage Logistics, Mr. Jonathan Brott, Individually and in his
capa	city as the Director of Infrastructure and Mr. Sudarsan Thattai, individually and in his
capa	city as the Chief Information Officer, willfully, knowingly and purposefully violated the
Plain	tiff's Civil Rights guaranteed him under 29 U.S.C Chapter 14, and Title VII of the Civil Rights
Acto	of 1964. The Plaintiff was harassed and subject to multiple instances of intimidation regarding
his a	ge, his ethnicity and his religious beliefs. The ongoing harassment ultimately led to the final
act o	f employment discrimination and Civil Rights violations on February 20, 2015 when the
Defe	ndant's wrongfully terminated the employment of the Plaintiff without notice, cause or reason.
-	

II. STATEMENT OF CLAIM(S) (continued)

The Defendant(s) willfully and purposefully violated The Age Discrimination in Employment act of 1967 (ADEA). By harassing and wrongfully terminating the employment of the Plaintiff because of his age. The Defendant(s) willfully and purposefully violated Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 by harassing and intimidating the Plaintiff for his ethnicity and religious beliefs and practices, harassing and degrading the Plaintiff' for his appearance consistent with his ethnicity and religious beliefs, attempting to change and alter Plaintiff's religious beliefs to be more consistent with the environmental and predominant religious beliefs and holdings of management in the company. Subjecting the Plaintiff to discussions, leaflets and customs held by the Defendant(s) contrary to the Plaintiff's customs and beliefs. And by wrongfully terminating the Plaintiff's employment for his age, race/ethnicity and religious beliefs. The Plaintiff was a competent, diligent, hard-working and highly successful employee. The Plaintiff was commended repeatedly by all levels of management and other employees, contractors and vendors for the work he performed and the Plaintiff was never sanctioned, disciplined, confronted or counseled for anything during his employment. Conversely the Plaintiff regularly verified and discussed his performance, success and fitment with his management including the Defendant(s) and was applauded and complimented and given repeated assurances that his work was extraordinary and highly regarded. The Plaintiff is and was at all times concerned a member of the following protected classes: He is over 40 years old, and he is a Native American culturally and spiritually. These protected classes were disclosed as a part of his employment.

III. STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

Check any of the following that apply to this case (you may check more than one):

United States or a federal official or agency is a party
Claim arises under the Constitution, laws or treaties of the United States
X Violation of civil rights
X Employment discrimination
X Diversity of Citizenship (a matter between citizens of different states in which the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000)
Other basis for jurisdiction in federal court (explain below)
IV. STATEMENT OF VENUE
State briefly the connection between this case and Nebraska. For example, does a party reside or do business in Nebraska? Is a party incorporated in Nebraska? Did an injury occur in Nebraska? Did the claim arise in Nebraska?
The Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of Nebraska, his employment was in Douglas County, Omaha
which was acquired by Lineage Logistics. The current ownership, policies, procedures, and
indemnification of Millard appears in question.
V. RELIEF
State briefly what you want the court to do for you.
The Plaintiff prays that the Court Order the Defendant's to compensate the Plaintiff for damages

incurred by Plaintiff and his family as a result of his wrongful termination, compensate Plaintiff for the damage done to his reputation and his career because of the brevity and abrupt cessation of his employment, special damages for violating his Civil Rights, costs of litigation and all other remedies that the Court deems appropriate.

EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES VI.

Some claims, but not all, require exhaustion of administrative procedures. Answer the question below to the best of your ability.			
Have the claims which you make in this civil action been presented through any type of administrative procedure within any state or federal government agency?			
Yes X No			
B. If you answered yes, state the date your claims were so presented, how they were presented and the result of that procedure:			
On March 3, 2015 a notice was submitted to the EEOC in Washington DC indicating that a claim			
of age discrimination, ethnic and religious discrimination was being made by the Plaintiff. This			
letter fulfills the requirements under 29 CFR part 1625, Waiver of Clams, and was filed within			
180 days of the discrimination and 30 days prior to filing this action.			
C. If you answered no, give the reasons, if applicable, why the claims made in this action have not been presented through administrative procedures:			

ADE VOU DEOUESTING TOTAL DV A HIDV OD RV A HIDGE? (check one):

V 11.	ARE YOU REC	QUESTING !	IKIAL DI A JUNI ONDI	A JUDGE. (check one).
	JURY	X	JUDGE	·
VIII.	VERIFICATIO	ON		
	I (we) declare u	ınder penalty	of perjury that the foregoi	ng is true and correct.
Date(s) Executed:		Signature of Plaintiff(s):	
46/	5			
Note:				

IF YOU CANNOT AFFORD TO PAY THE COURT=S FILING FEE UPON THE FILING OF YOUR COMPLAINT, THERE IS A SEPARATE FORM TO BE USED FOR APPLYING TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS. Also, if there is more than one plaintiff in the case who wishes to proceed in forma pauperis, each such plaintiff must submit a separate application to proceed in forma pauperis.